August 2009



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2008–2009 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards known as *Learning Results*. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning TestTM (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure academic achievement. This year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning TestTM was augmented with 11 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. The assessment continues to include science testing, which resumed last year after a two-year hiatus. The combined set of tests comprises the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

These 2008-2009 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science reported according to the academic standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. The MHSA achievement level standards for the 2009 critical reading, writing, mathematics and science sections of the MHSA were determined by Maine educators with specific expertise within the content areas. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores from the SAT may also be used for college admission by most students, they may not be used for that purpose if a student received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board.

These results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs an assessment design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Gendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



High School Report

Test Date: May 2009

Code: 12061522

SAU: MSAD 12

School: Forest Hills Consolidated Scho

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Торіс	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11




SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12


School: Forest Hills Consolidated Scho


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores

Year	Avera	age Scaled S	Score
icui	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading			
2006–2007	1138	1138	1141
2007–2008	1142	1142	1141
2008–2009	1140	1143	1141
Cum Average*	1140	1141	1141
Mathematics			
2006–2007	1141	1141	1140
2007–2008	1145	1145	1141
2008-2009	1142	1143	1141
Cum Average*	1143	1143	1141
Writing			
2006–2007	1141	1141	1141
2007–2008	1141	1141	1140
2008-2009	1142	1142	1140
Cum Average*	1141	1141	1140
Science 2008–2009**	1140	1142	1140









^{*}Cumulative averages are weighted, i.e., the scaled scores are averaged proportionally based on the numbers of students in each year.

^{**}Because science standards were reset in May 2009, no historical data are available.



SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

		En	rol	lme	ent¹								CC	N	ΓΕΙ	T	AR	EΑ	PA	RT	IC	ΙPΑ	TIC	N ²						
CATEGORY OF	c	luring	j test	ing v	vindo	w		С	ritical	Reac	ling				Math	ematic	s				Wri	ting					Sci	ence		
PARTICIPATION	Scl	hool	S	AU	S	tate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	5	SAU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ite
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students	16	100	12	100	15632	100	15	94	11	92	14928	96	16	100	12	100	15274	98	15	94	11	92	14926	96	16	100	12	100	15079	97
Ethnicity African American/Black	0	0	0	0	341	2	0	0	0	0	310	91	0	0	0	0	322	95	0	0	0	0	309	91	0	0	0	0	317	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0	0	0	0	111	1	0	0	0	0	101	91	0	0	0	0	107	96	0	0	0	0	101	91	0	0	0	0	103	93
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	6	1	8	241	2	1	100	1	100	221	92	1	100	1	100	229	95	1	100	1	100	221	92	1	100	1	100	227	94
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	166	1	0	0	0	0	156	94	0	0	0	0	162	98	0	0	0	0	156	94	0	0	0	0	155	93
Caucasian/White	15	94	11	92	14773	95	14	93	10	91	14140	96	15	100	11	100	14454	98	14	93	10	91	14139	96	15	100	11	100	14277	97
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Identified disability	3	19	2	17	2327	15	3	100	2	100	2108	91	3	100	2	100	2200	95	3	100	2	100	2099	91	3	100	2	100	2140	92
Current LEP	0	0	0	0	262	2	0	0	0	0	232	89	0	0	0	0	246	94	0	0	0	0	231	88	0	0	0	0	240	92
Economically disadvantaged	8	50	7	58	4634	30	7	88	6	86	4263	92	8	100	7	100	4451	96	7	88	6	86	4262	92	8	100	7	100	4383	95
Migrant	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	80	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	4	80	0	0	0	0	5	100

MODE OF			Critic	cal R	Readi	ng				Math	ematic	S				Wr	iting					Sci	ence		
	S	chool		SA	U	St	ate	Sc	hool	5	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	s	AU	St	ate	Sc	nool	s	AU	S	tate
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	9
Participation without accommodations	14	88	1	0	83	13079	84	15	94	11	92	13417	86	14	88	10	83	13084	84	15	94	11	92	13288	3 8
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	2	14		1	10	727	6	2	13	1	9	814	6	2	14	1	10	725	6	2	13	1	9	802	
LEP	0	0		0	0	170	1	0	0	0	0	181	1	0	0	0	0	170	1	0	0	0	0	177	
504 plan	0	0		0	0	238	2	1	7	1	9	245	2	0	0	0	0	238	2	1	7	1	9	241	
Participation with accommodations	0	0		0	0	1626	10	0	0	0	0	1636	10	0	0	0	0	1624	10	0	0	0	0	1579	
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	0	0		0	0	1158	71	0	0	0	0	1165	71	0	0	0	0	1156	71	0	0	0	0	1126	Т
LEP	0	0		0	0	56	3	0	0	0	0	59	4	0	0	0	0	55	3	0	0	0	0	57	
504 plan	0	0		0	0	79	5	0	0	0	0	79	5	0	0	0	0	80	5	0	0	0	0	77	
Other	0	0		0	0	360	22	0	0	0	0	360	22	0	0	0	0	360	22	0	0	0	0	345	1
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	1	6		1	8	223	1	1	6	1	8	221	1	1	6	1	8	218	1	1	6	1	8	212	T
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	1	10)	1	100	223	100	1	100	1	100	221	100	1	100	1	100	218	100	1	100	1	100	212	1
LEP	0	0		0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	6	
504 plan	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0		0	0	0	0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0	0		0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	26	
Non-participation – other	1	6		1	8	680	4	0	0	0	0	324	2	1	6	1	8	682	4	0	0	0	0	527	



CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

School: Forest Hills Consolidated Scho

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's response. on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple-choice items in an "on demand" setting.

Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of

reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes

texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text

Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret

reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary

statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures

Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The

student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending

and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support

on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within

and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1142-1160)

literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of

structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to

increase comprehension. (scaled score 1162-1180)

comprehension. (scaled score 1130-1140)

's responses <i>Results</i> .	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH A	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	/EL
tified	Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
2006-2007	0	0	0	0	1168	8
2007-2008	1	7	1	8	1184	8
2008-2009	1	7	1	10	1339	9
Cum. Total*	2	5	2	5	3691	8
2006-2007	5	33	5	33	5714	38
2007-2008	4	29	3	23	5885	40
2008-2009	4	29	4	40	5897	40
Cum. Total*	13	30	12	32	17496	40
2006-2007	6	40	6	40	4728	31
2007-2008	7	50	7	54	4093	28
2008-2009	8	57	5	50	4169	28
Cum. Total*	21	49	18	47	12990	29
2006-2007	4	27	4	27	3444	23
2007-2008	2	14	2	15	3417	23
2008-2009	1	7	0	0	3255	22
Cum. Total*	7	16	6	16	10116	23

Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text	2006-2007	4	27	4	27	3444	23
	2007-2008	2	14	2	15	3417	23
	2008-2009	1	7	0	0	3255	22
	Cum. Total*	7	16	6	16	10116	23
structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128) Percentages are calculated by dividing the cumulative total of the number of students in the achievement level by the	as cumulativa tota	l of the nur	mber of studer	ats tested			Page



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009 MSAD 12 SAU:

Forest Hills Consolidated Scho School:

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	14	1	7	4	29	8	57	1	7	1140	10	10	40	50	0	1143	14660	9	40	28	22	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						303	3	23	27	47	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						100	5	27	30	38	1135
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						219	11	34	28	26	1141
Hispanic	0										0						151	3	34	33	30	1137
Caucasian/White	13	0	0	4	31	8	62	1	8	1138	9	0	44	56	0	1140	13887	9	41	28	21	1141
Not Reported	0	ŭ					-				0						0	Ů				
Not risported			İ								ľ			İ			ľ					
Identified disability																						
Yes	2										1						1865	1	11	24	64	1127
No	12	1	8	4	33	6	50	1	8	1141	9	11	44	44	0	1144	12795	10	45	29	16	1143
Current LEP																						
Yes	0										0						225	0	9	22	68	1126
No	14	1	7	4	29	8	57	1	7	1140	10	10	40	50	0	1143	14435	9	41	29	21	1141
INO	14	'	′	4	29	0	57	'	′	1140	10	10	40	50	U	1143	14433	9	41	29	21	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	7	0	0	3	43	4	57	0	0	1139	6	0	50	50	0	1140	4120	3	30	32	35	1136
No	7	1	14	1	14	4	57	1	14	1142	4						10540	11	44	27	17	1143
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						3					
No	14	1	7	4	29	8	57	1	7	1140	10	10	40	50	0	1143	14657	9	40	28	22	1141
Gender																						
Female	5	0	0	1	20	4	80	0	0	1136	4		İ				7098	10	43	29	18	1142
Male	9	1	11	3	33	4	44	1	11	1143	6	17	50	33	0	1147	7562	9	37	28	26	1140
Not Reported	0	·			"						0	''					0					
Not risported											ľ											
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						291	3	28	28	41	1135
No	14	1	7	4	29	8	57	1	7	1140	10	10	40	50	0	1143	14369	9	40	28	22	1141
Gifted/talented program			İ											İ								
Yes	1										1						520	52	45	3	1	1161
No	13	0	0	4	31	8	62	1	8	1138	9	0	44	56	0	1140	14140	5∠ 8	40	29	23	1140
INO	13	U	U	4	31	*	02	'	٥	1138	9	"	44	00	U	1140	14140	ō	40	29	23	1140
			<u> </u>																			



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

School: Forest Hills Consolidated Scho

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*.

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL

Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden		Sch	nool	SA	NU	Sta	ate
standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a confine of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" see		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1162-1180)	2006-2007	0	0	0	0	578	4
	2007-2008	1	7	1	8	637	4
	2008-2009	0	0	0	0	596	4
	Cum. Total*	1	2	1	3	1811	4
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1142-1160)	2006-2007	7	47	7	47	5481	36
	2007-2008	6	43	6	46	5508	37
	2008-2009	5	33	5	45	5674	38
	Cum. Total*	18	41	18	46	16663	37
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1134-1140)	2006-2007	7	47	7	47	4754	31
	2007-2008	6	43	5	38	5065	34
	2008-2009	8	53	4	36	4622	31
	Cum. Total*	21	48	16	41	14441	32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)	2006-2007	1	7	1	7	4607	30
	2007-2008	1	7	1	8	3660	25
	2008-2009	2	13	2	18	4116	27
	Cum. Total*	4	9	4	10	12383	27



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	15	0	0	5	33	8	53	2	13	1142	11	0	45	36	18	1143	15008	4	38	31	27	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						315	1	15	29	56	1134
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						106	1	20	31	48	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						227	11	41	28	21	1144
Hispanic	0										0						157	1	27	25	46	1136
Caucasian/White	14	0	0	4	29	8	57	2	14	1141	10	0	40	40	20	1142	14203	4	39	31	27	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	2										1						1959	0	7	19	73	1130
No	13	0	0	5	38	7	54	1	8	1143	10	0	50	40	10	1144	13049	5	42	33	21	1142
Current LEP	0										0						239	0	14	24	60	1132
Yes No	15	0	0	5	33	8	53	2	13	1142	11	0	45	36	18	1143	14769	4	14 38	31	62 27	1132
INO	15	U		5	33	0	55	2	13	1142	''	"	45	30	10	1143	14709	4	30	٥١	21	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	8	0	0	2	25	4	50	2	25	1141	7	0	29	43	29	1141	4306	1	24	33	42	1136
No	7	0	0	3	43	4	57	0	0	1143	4						10702	5	43	30	21	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						4					
No	15	0	0	5	33	8	53	2	13	1142	11	0	45	36	18	1143	15004	4	38	31	27	1141
Gender																						
Female	5	0	0	1	20	3	60	1	20	1138	4						7248	3	38	33	27	1140
Male	10	0	0	4	40	5	50	1	10	1144	7	0	57	29	14	1145	7760	5	38	29	28	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						293	1	23	37	39	1137
No	15	0	0	5	33	8	53	2	13	1142	11	0	45	36	18	1143	14715	4	38	31	27	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	1 1										1						521	31	63	4	2	1157
No	14	0	0	4	29	8	57	2	14	1141	10	0	40	40	20	1142	14487	3	37	32	28	1140
NO .	14	U		-	23		31	-	14	''4'	10	"	40	40	20	1142	14407	3	31	32	20	1140
					!		!							!	1					!		



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

School: Forest Hills Consolidated Scho

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's response on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting.

Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors;

essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding

essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of

that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an

ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1162-1180)

and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The

Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions

effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate

's responses <i>Results</i> .	STI	JDENTS A	AT EACH A	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	/EL
tified	Sch	ool	SA	N U	Sta	ate
ation of g.	N	%	N	%	N	%
2006-2007	0	0	0	0	937	6
2007-2008	2	14	2	15	962	7
2008-2009	1	7	1	10	1062	7
Cum. Total*	3	7	3	8	2961	7
2006-2007	7	47	7	47	6167	41
2007-2008	3	21	3	23	5564	38
2008-2009	8	57	6	60	5706	39
Cum. Total*	18	42	16	42	17437	39
2006-2007	6	40	6	40	4723	31
2007-2008	7	50	6	46	4679	32
2008-2009	4	29	2	20	4487	31
Cum. Total*	17	40	14	37	13889	31
2006-2007	2	13	2	13	3227	21
2007-2008	2	14	2	15	3376	23
2008-2009	1	7	1	10	3408	23
Cum. Total*	5	12	5	13	10011	23

examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1142-1160)	Cum. Total*	8 18	42	16	42	17437	39 39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1130-1140)	2006-2007	6	40	6	40	4723	31
	2007-2008	7	50	6	46	4679	32
	2008-2009	4	29	2	20	4487	31
	Cum. Total*	17	40	14	37	13889	31
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2006-2007	2	13	2	13	3227	21
	2007-2008	2	14	2	15	3376	23
	2008-2009	1	7	1	10	3408	23
	Cum. Total*	5	12	5	13	10011	23



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

					Scł	nool							SA	٩U					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	14	1	7	8	57	4	29	1	7	1142	10	10	60	20	10	1142	14663	7	39	31	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						302	2	22	32	44	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						100	2	23	35	40	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						219	10	37	27	26	1141
Hispanic	0										0						151	4	29	32	35	1135
Caucasian/White	13	0	0	8	62	4	31	1	8	1140	9	0	67	22	11	1140	13891	7	40	31	23	1140
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	2										1			İ			1861	0	8	21	71	1125
No	12	1	8	8	67	3	25	0	0	1144	9	11	67	22	0	1144	12802	8	43	32	16	1142
	12	'			0,		25			''		''	07			''	12002		10	02	10	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	0										0						224	0	8	28	64	1127
No	14	1	7	8	57	4	29	1	7	1142	10	10	60	20	10	1142	14439	7	39	31	23	1140
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	7	0	0	6	86	0	0	1	14	1143	6	0	83	0	17	1141	4121	2	27	33	38	1134
No	7	1	14	2	29	4	57	0	0	1140	4						10542	9	44	30	18	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						3					
No	14	1	7	8	57	4	29	1	7	1142	10	10	60	20	10	1142	14660	7	39	31	23	1140
Gender	_							١.						İ								
Female	5	0	0	2	40	2	40	1	20	1136	4						7103	9	43	31	17	1143
Male	9	1	11	6	67	2	22	0	0	1145	6	17	67	17	0	1146	7560	6	35	30	30	1138
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						291	3	25	36	35	1135
No	14	1	7	8	57	4	29	1	7	1142	10	10	60	20	10	1142	14372	7	39	30	23	1140
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	1										1						520	43	52	3	1	1159
No	13	0	0	8	62	4	31	1	8	1140	9	0	67	22	11	1140	14143	6	38	32	24	1139
			!		!		!		1		<u> </u>		!	!	!				!	!	!	



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

School: Forest Hills Consolidated Scho

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. School SAU State Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of Ν % Ν % Ν % multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems, and 2008-2009* 1 7 1 9 602 4 explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1162-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses 2008-2009* 27 4 36 5431 37 demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems and explain central concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1142-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses 3 27 3876 2008-2009* 33 26 demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems but the quality of responses is inconsistent. Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1134-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards - The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's 3 27 2008-2009* 33 4958 33 responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems, Explanations are illogical, incomplete, or

Learning Results Content Standards		nber oints	Average Points Attained (Number and Percent)									
		sible	Sch	ool	SA	AU	State					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
Science Total Points	56	100	23.16	41.4	24.67	44.1	22.76	40.6				
D. The Physical Setting	34	61	13.62	40.1	14.55	42.8	13.63	40.1				
D1/D2 Earth/Space	14	25	6.64	47.4	7.15	51.1	6.05	43.2				
D3/D4 Matter and Energy/Force and Motion	20	36	6.98	34.9	7.39	37.0	7.58	37.9				
E. The Living Environment	22	39	9.53	43.3	10.12	46.0	9.13	41.5				

missing. There are many inaccuracies. (scaled score 1100-1132)

The MHSA assesses students' science knowledge based on questions that measure the science accountability content standards highlighted in Maine's 2007 *Learning Results: Parameters for Essential Instruction*, which can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/lres/pei/index.html.

Content Standard D. The Physical Setting

- D1 Universe and Solar System
- D2 Earth
- D3 Matter and Energy
- D4 Force and Motion

Content Standard E. The Living Environment

- E1 Biodiversity
- E2 Ecosystems
- E3 Cells
- E4 Heredity and Reproduction
- E5 Evolution



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: MSAD 12

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School											SAU						State					
	Tested	E		М		P		D		Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	
All Students	15	1	7	4	27	5	33	5	33	1140	11	9	36	27	27	1142	14867	4	37	26	33	1140	
Ethnicity																							
African American/Black	0										0						311	1	18	20	61	1133	
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						102	1	19	30	50	1135	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						225	5	40	20	36	1141	
Hispanic	0										0						152	2	23	18	57	1136	
Caucasian/White	14	0	0	4	29	5	36	5	36	1139	10	0	40	30	30	1140	14077	4	37	26	32	1141	
Not Reported	0	ŭ							""		0						0				02		
Not rieported											ľ												
Identified disability																							
Yes	2										1						1928	0	9	18	72	1131	
No	13	1	8	4	31	5	38	3	23	1142	10	10	40	30	20	1143	12939	5	41	27	28	1142	
Current LEP																							
Yes	0										0						234	0	10	11	79	1129	
No	15	1	7	4	27	5	33	5	33	1140	11	9	36	27	27	1142	14633	4	37	26	33	1140	
NO		'	′	-	21	"	33	"	33	1140	l ''		30		21	1142	14000	7	37	20		1140	
Economically disadvantaged																							
Yes	8	0	0	3	38	1	13	4	50	1138	7	0	43	14	43	1138	4264	2	24	26	47	1136	
No	7	1	14	1	14	4	57	1	14	1144	4						10603	5	41	26	28	1142	
Migrant																							
Yes	0										0						4						
I .	15	1	7	,	07	-	00	5	00	1140			00	07	27	1142	14863		0.7	00	00	1110	
No	15	1	/	4	27	5	33	5	33	1140	11	9	36	27	27	1142	14863	4	37	26	33	1140	
Gender																							
Female	5	0	0	0	0	2	40	3	60	1134	4						7179	2	32	29	37	1139	
Male	10	1	10	4	40	3	30	2	20	1143	7	14	57	14	14	1146	7688	6	40	23	30	1142	
Not Reported	0										0						0						
Title 1A targeted program											_							_					
Yes	0										0						287	2	23	26	49	1136	
No	15	1	7	4	27	5	33	5	33	1140	11	9	36	27	27	1142	14580	4	37	26	33	1140	
Gifted/talented program																							
Yes	1										1						517	28	65	6	1	1156	
No	14	0	0	4	29	5	36	5	36	1139	10	0	40	30	30	1140	14350	3	35	27	35	1140	
	'	-		'	1				"		"			30					50				
					!		<u> </u>		!		<u> </u>		1	1					1	<u> </u>			